



A medical practice sale rarely involves only charts, cash flow, and goodwill. The building, lease, or condo unit tied to the practice often shapes the economics of the deal just as much as patient volume or specialty mix. Owners tend to learn this late, sometimes after months of negotiation, when a buyer's lender raises a concern about rent, a hospital-backed group insists on a lease restructure, or a real estate issue delays closing.

That is why the real estate piece deserves attention well before a practice goes to market. In many transactions, the practice and the premises are intertwined in ways that affect value, financing, tax planning, and timing. A strong medical office location can make a practice more attractive. A poorly documented lease, deferred maintenance, or an unrealistic rent expectation can do the opposite.

I have seen owners spend decades building excellent clinical reputations, only to discover that the biggest friction point in their exit was not patient retention or staffing. It was the office. Sometimes it was a lease expiring too soon. Sometimes it was a building owner who would not consent to assignment. Sometimes it was a doctor who owned the real estate personally and had never set market rent, making the financials look better than they would under a buyer's real occupancy costs.

Medical Practice Sales work best when owners treat real estate as part of the transaction strategy, not a side matter to be cleaned up later.

The practice may be the asset, but the space influences the value

Buyers look at a medical practice through several lenses at once. They want to know how durable revenue is, whether referral patterns are stable, how dependent the practice is on the owner, and what post-closing integration will look like. Right beside those questions sits a practical one: can the business continue operating smoothly in the current location?

For many specialties, location is not easily interchangeable. A pediatric office near schools and dense family neighborhoods carries practical value. An orthopedic clinic near a hospital campus may benefit from physician access and patient familiarity. A dermatology office with strong street visibility and easy parking may outperform a technically similar office hidden in a difficult center. Real estate does not create practice quality, but it often supports patient convenience, staff retention, and referral continuity.

That said, owners sometimes overestimate how much "their" building adds to the deal. Buyers do not usually pay a premium just because the seller likes the office or has been there for twenty years. They pay for economic advantage, operational stability, and reduced risk. If the rent is above market, the buildout is obsolete, or the landlord relationship is brittle, the same location can become a discount factor rather than a selling point.

A common example involves a solo owner who has occupied a medical condo for fifteen years. The office is fully paid off, beautifully familiar to patients, and emotionally important to the physician. The owner expects the real estate to command a premium because it is "perfect for the practice." But a buyer may see a different picture. The floor plan may not support modern staffing, additional providers, or updated compliance needs. Shared parking may be strained. The association may restrict signage or future modifications. What feels ideal to the seller can be limiting to the buyer.

Owning the building versus leasing the space

Owners preparing for a sale generally fall into two camps. They either lease their office from a third party, or they own the property, often through a separate real estate entity. Each structure creates different advantages and complications.

When the practice leases its office, the transaction hinges on lease terms. Buyers want certainty that they can remain in the space long enough to justify the acquisition. If only two years remain on the lease and there are no renewal options, concern rises quickly. A buyer may still proceed, but only after negotiating a new lease or extension with the landlord. If the landlord hesitates, the buyer may lower the purchase price or walk away.

When the seller owns the real estate, the flexibility can be greater, but so can the complexity. The seller must decide whether to sell the building with the practice, retain it and lease it to the buyer, or sell the practice to one party and the real estate to another. Each option affects deal structure, taxes, and long-term income.

Retaining the building can be appealing. Many physicians like the idea of replacing practice income with rental income in retirement. On paper, that can work well. In reality, it depends on the buyer's credit quality, the lease structure, the local market, and the owner's willingness to remain a landlord. Some retiring doctors imagine a stable passive income stream, then find themselves negotiating HVAC replacements, dealing with tenant requests for renovation allowances, or facing vacancy if the buyer merges the practice and relocates after a few years.

Selling the building at the same time can simplify the exit, but only if the pricing is realistic and the transaction is coordinated. A buyer might be enthusiastic about the practice and indifferent to owning real estate, especially if they are a regional platform or hospital-backed group that prefers to deploy capital elsewhere. In those cases, insisting on a combined practice-and-property sale can narrow the buyer pool.

Lease terms can make or break a sale

If there is one real estate document owners should review early, it is the lease. Not the summary in a drawer, not a memory of what was agreed ten years ago, but the actual signed lease and all amendments.

The issues that most often surface in Medical Practice Sales are surprisingly basic. Does the lease permit assignment to a buyer? Is landlord consent required, and if so, on what standard? How much term remains? Are there renewal options, and were they properly exercised? Is the tenant responsible for major systems? Is there exclusivity language that matters? Are there use restrictions, relocation rights, or demolition clauses?

I have seen deals stall because an owner assumed a five-year renewal option existed, only to learn the option window had passed months earlier. I have also seen buyers accept a lower purchase price in exchange for a favorable new lease, because they cared more about occupancy certainty than a slightly better earnings multiple.

Market rent matters as well. If the selling doctor owns the real estate and has been charging the practice below-market rent, the practice financials may overstate earnings. Sophisticated buyers adjust for this. If fair market rent should be \$38 per square foot and the practice has been paying the equivalent of \$24, the buyer will restate normalized expenses. That can reduce the practice valuation materially.

The reverse can happen too. Some older leases are below current market, especially in tightly held medical corridors. A favorable long-term lease can be a genuine asset. It improves predictability and may support stronger cash flow after acquisition. Buyers notice that.

Fair market rent is not a side issue

Rent is often the quiet pivot point between the practice entity and the real estate entity. If it is not set correctly, both valuation and compliance concerns may follow.

For independent transactions between private parties, fair market rent is primarily an economic issue. Buyers need to know what occupancy costs really are. If rent is too low, the seller may think the practice is more profitable than the market will accept. If rent is too high, the practice may look weaker than it actually is. Either way, distorted rent confuses the sale process.

For transactions involving hospitals, health systems, or certain referral-sensitive relationships, the stakes can be even higher. Those buyers tend to scrutinize lease terms closely. Rent, renewal options, tenant improvements, and shared expenses often need support from market data or valuation professionals. A casual arrangement that worked fine when the owner controlled both entities may not survive institutional due diligence.

Owners are often surprised by how much negotiation can center on rent after letter of intent stage. A buyer may agree with the practice purchase price, then spend weeks debating the lease rate, annual escalations, and maintenance responsibilities. That is not a distraction from the deal. It is the deal.

The building itself needs diligence, not just the practice

Physicians often prepare for a sale by cleaning up financial statements, organizing employment agreements, and reviewing payer contracts. Those are the right steps. But if real estate is part of the transaction, the building also needs diligence readiness.

A buyer or lender may ask for property tax bills, operating statements, maintenance records, certificates of occupancy, surveys, title documentation, and evidence of code compliance. If the office is in a condominium or professional association, they may want governing documents, reserve information, and special assessment history. If imaging equipment or specialized plumbing and electrical systems are involved, physical condition matters even more.

A well-run clinical operation can still face a closing delay because the office has unresolved practical issues. An old roof with no replacement history. A parking arrangement that exists by handshake rather than recorded easement. A suite expansion completed years ago without clear permit records. These are not always deal killers, but they create uncertainty, and uncertainty gives buyers leverage.

One internist I know had a strong offer from a local group. The practice quality was not the issue. During diligence, the buyer discovered that the building's HVAC serving the suite was near end of life, and the responsibility under the governing documents was ambiguous. The parties eventually closed, but only after a purchase price adjustment and a reserve for post-closing replacement. The seller had owned the office for years and simply never thought of the unit as something a buyer would underwrite as carefully as the [sell medical practice](#) practice.

Timing matters more than most owners expect

Owners frequently decide to sell on a timeline driven by age, burnout, family plans, or a recruit opportunity. Real estate operates on a different clock. Lease extensions take time. Boundary or title issues take time. Property appraisals and environmental questions take time. Even straightforward landlord conversations can drag on longer than anyone expects.

Starting early creates options. It lets owners cure lease issues before a buyer sees them. It provides time to test market rent assumptions. It allows thoughtful decisions about whether to keep or sell the real estate. It also reduces the risk of negotiating from weakness.

The strongest position is usually one where the owner can show a clean occupancy story. There is enough lease term to support financing. The rent is market-based and documented. If real estate is included, the records are

organized and current. Buyers feel they are stepping into a stable operating environment rather than inheriting a loose collection of unresolved property questions.

Here are the real estate points I would want any owner to review before launching a sale process:

- lease term remaining, renewal options, and assignment rights
- whether current rent reflects market conditions
- building condition, deferred maintenance, and major system age
- ownership structure of the property and any related tax implications
- zoning, parking, condo association, or landlord issues that could affect operations

That short review can prevent months of avoidable friction.

Sale structure changes the outcome

Not every buyer wants the same thing, and that has direct consequences for real estate.

A physician buyer may prefer to purchase the practice and lease the office, especially if preserving capital matters. A private equity-backed platform may acquire the practice but require a long-term lease that gives expansion rights, signage rights, and clear cost controls. A hospital system may want either a lease aligned with its internal standards or enough flexibility to relocate the practice into network space later. A strategic local group may buy the charts and staff while planning to move operations entirely, making the current real estate less relevant.

Owners who understand these buyer profiles can avoid unproductive assumptions. If the likely buyer universe consists of platform groups that prefer not to own real estate, then positioning the building as mandatory deal inventory may be counterproductive. If the likely buyer is a younger physician with limited cash, seller flexibility on a lease may improve overall economics more than pushing for a simultaneous property sale.

There is also the question of separation. The practice may be sold [Medical Practice Sales](#) through an asset deal while the real estate stays in a separate LLC. That often makes sense, but it requires coordination. Lease terms must be settled as part of the transaction, not after. If the rent is too aggressive, the buyer may feel that value is being shifted from the practice purchase to the retained property. If the lease is too generous to the buyer, the seller may give away future income.

Good deal structure balances both sides. Buyers need sustainable occupancy costs. Sellers need realistic long-term protection if they retain the property. Security deposits, guaranties, maintenance responsibilities, and renewal mechanics all matter.

Tax and estate planning can change the recommendation

Many owners focus on sale price and monthly rent, but tax treatment can change what actually makes sense. Selling a fully appreciated building may create a different tax result than selling only the practice and keeping the real estate for income. Depreciation recapture, state taxes, entity structure, and installment possibilities all affect the net outcome. So does estate planning. Some physicians want the property to remain in the family, even if the practice is sold. Others want a clean exit with no landlord obligations.

This is where broad rules tend to fail. Two owners with nearly identical practices can land on opposite real estate decisions because their basis, retirement income needs, estate goals, or other holdings differ. What looks optimal before tax analysis can look mediocre after it.

Owners should also think about concentration risk. Keeping a building because “rent will fund retirement” sounds attractive until one asks who the tenant is, how stable they are, and what happens if they outgrow the space or consolidate locations. Medical office can be durable, but it is not guaranteed passive income. Specialty shifts, reimbursement pressure, and consolidation can all affect tenant behavior.

Buyers notice operational fit, not just square footage

Real estate evaluation in medical practice deals is not just financial. It is operational. The same 4,000 square feet can feel highly functional to one specialty and poorly configured to another.

A family medicine buyer may prioritize exam room flow, nurse station visibility, lab support, and parking turnover. An ophthalmology buyer may care more about optical layout, testing room adjacency, and expensive built-in infrastructure. A behavioral health practice might need acoustic privacy and less procedural setup. If the office supports future provider additions or service expansion, that helps. If it is landlocked, inflexible, or difficult to remodel, it may cap upside.

This matters because many buyers are not buying only current earnings. They are buying a platform for future production. A location that can support one more physician, a midlevel, or an ancillary service may be worth more than a space that is already functionally maxed out.

One seller I worked with informally was convinced that a larger suite would automatically impress buyers. It did not. The issue was not size. It was efficiency. Too much of the square footage sat in oversized private offices and underused storage. The buyer saw an expensive footprint with limited incremental revenue opportunity. The real estate looked substantial, but it did not look productive.

Negotiation is easier when owners separate emotion from leverage

Doctors who have practiced in the same office for many years often carry understandable emotional attachment to the space. They remember buildout choices, growth milestones, and generations of patients who came through those rooms. That history matters personally, but it should not drive pricing or lease strategy.

Buyers respond better to evidence than sentiment. If the rent is market, show why. If the location has strategic value, tie it to referral patterns, demographics, access, or patient retention. If the building has been well maintained, produce the records. Emotion can explain why the office mattered to the seller. It cannot substitute for diligence support.

The same principle applies when the real estate stays with the seller. Some owners try to use the lease as a way to make up for a lower practice price. Buyers can usually see that move clearly. If occupancy costs become too high, they affect post-closing economics and financing. A fair practice price paired with a fair lease usually gets farther than trying to push excess value into one side of the transaction.

A sensible path before going to market

Owners do not need to solve every issue years in advance, but they should do enough work to avoid surprises. The best preparation is practical rather than glamorous:

- gather leases, amendments, title and ownership records, and key property documents
- assess fair market rent with current local data
- identify deferred maintenance or compliance issues that may concern buyers
- decide whether retaining the real estate truly fits retirement plans

- align legal, tax, and brokerage advice before negotiations begin

That work tends to pay back quickly. It shortens diligence, reduces buyer retrading, and helps owners make clean decisions when offers arrive.

What experienced owners usually learn too late

The sale of a medical practice is not just a transfer of patient relationships and revenue streams. It is a transition of place. The office, lease, condo, or building often determines how comfortable a buyer feels stepping into that transition. When real estate is stable, documented, and economically reasonable, it supports value. When it is neglected or treated as an afterthought, it creates drag.

Owners who are planning Medical Practice Sales should give the real estate side the same level of attention they give financial statements and staffing. Review the lease while there is still time to renegotiate it. Test rent assumptions before a buyer does. Think honestly about whether you want to remain a landlord after the practice is gone. Understand how the physical office will look through someone else's eyes.

The physicians who navigate this best are usually not the ones with the fanciest offices. They are the ones who prepared early, separated personal attachment from market reality, and understood that a practice sale is both a business deal and an occupancy deal. When those two pieces align, transactions move faster, negotiations stay cleaner, and owners keep more control over the outcome that matters most.